

HOMOGENEOUS QUATERNIONIC KÄHLER STRUCTURES AND QUATERNIONIC HYPERBOLIC SPACE

M. CASTRILLÓN LÓPEZ, P. M. GADEA, AND A. F. SWANN

ABSTRACT. An explicit classification of homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures by real tensors is derived and we relate this to the representation-theoretic description found by Fino. We then show how the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ is characterised by admitting homogeneous structures of a particularly simple type. In the process we study the properties of different homogeneous models for $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Ambrose-Singer equations	4
2.2. Homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures	5
2.3. Fino's classification	7
2.4. Some conventions	7
3. Classification by real tensors	7
3.1. The space of tensors	7
3.2. The action of $Sp(n)Sp(1)$	9
3.3. The space $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$	10
3.4. The space $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$	12
3.5. The classification theorem	15
4. Geometric results	17
4.1. The class $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$	17
4.2. Non-existence of $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$	24
5. Homogeneous descriptions of quaternionic hyperbolic space	29
5.1. Transitive actions	29
5.2. Structures of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$	34
5.3. A realisation of $\mathcal{QK}_3$ -structures	37
References	38

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C30; Secondary 53C26.

Key words and phrases. Homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures, quaternionic hyperbolic space, parabolic subgroups, Langlands refined decomposition.

Partially supported by DGICYT, Spain, under Grant BFM 2002-00141. AFS partially supported by the EDGE, Research Training Network HPRN-CT-2000-0010, of The European Human Potential Programme.

1. INTRODUCTION

Representation theory has been successfully applied to the classification of various geometric structures on differentiable manifolds in a number of different settings, inspired by the initial work of Gray & Hervella [21] for almost-Hermitian structures.

In the present paper, we first give a classification of homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures by real tensors. Quaternionic Kähler manifolds are characterised by having holonomy in $Sp(n)Sp(1)$, $n \geq 2$, and are Riemannian manifolds whose metrics are Einstein. Many homogeneous examples are known following the work of Wolf [33], Alekseevsky [3], de Wit & van Proeyen [15] and Cortés [14], although a full classification has not yet been found. We hope that some of the techniques of this paper will eventually lead to progress on this problem. A brief summary of the rôle played by homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures in theoretical physics is provided at the end of this introduction.

Homogeneous Riemannian structures were studied systematically by Ambrose & Singer [5] and Tricerri & Vanhecke [29] in terms of tensors on manifolds. In [16], Fino specialised their results to the quaternionic Kähler case, giving the abstract representation-theoretic decomposition of the space $\mathcal{V}$ of tensors satisfying the same symmetries as a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure. Our first main result is a concrete description of this decomposition in terms of real tensors. After establishing some preliminaries in §2, we give (§3) a concrete orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{V}$ into five subspaces $\mathcal{QK}_1, \dots, \mathcal{QK}_5$ invariant under the action of $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ and which we then relate to Fino's in Theorem 3.15.

The first three modules in $\mathcal{V}$ are distinguished by the fact that their dimensions depend only linearly on $n = \dim M/4$. We therefore single these out for special attention. By studying the interaction of the homogeneous tensor with the quaternionic curvature we find in §4 that non-trivial homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures in $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$ are necessarily of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$ and that a manifold admitting such a structure has the curvature of quaternionic hyperbolic space.

This prompts us to study different homogeneous models for quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ in §5. We first recall how Witte's refined Langlands decomposition of parabolic subgroups may be used to determine all the connected groups acting transitively on a non-compact symmetric space, and specialise to the case of $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$. From the point of view of Lie groups, the simplest model of $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ is as the solvable group AN in the Iwasawa decomposition $Sp(n, 1) = KAN$.

However, the tensorial description of this structure turns out to be rather complicated, being of type $\mathcal{QK}_{1+3+4}$. The trivial homogeneous tensor corresponds to the description of $\mathbb{HH}(n)$ as the Riemannian symmetric space $Sp(n, 1)/(Sp(n) \times Sp(1))$. We find that the structures of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$ arise from a particular homogeneous description of $\mathbb{HH}(n)$ as $Sp(1)AN/Sp(1)$ with the isotropy representation depending on a positive real parameter λ . In addition to the Lie-theoretic approach, we provide a concrete description of this geometry on the open unit ball in $\mathbb{H}^n$. The results contrast strongly with the case of real hyperbolic space studied by Tricerri & Vanhecke [29], where the description as a solvable group is particularly simple.

Combining the computations and constructions of sections §4 and §5 we arrive at the following characterisation of $\mathbb{HH}(n)$.

Theorem 1.1. *A connected, simply-connected, complete quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension $4n \geq 8$ admits a non-vanishing homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure in the class $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$ if and only if it is the quaternionic hyperbolic space.*

In this case, the homogeneous structure is necessarily of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$.

Earlier versions of some of the results of this paper were announced in [12].

We recall that hyperKähler and quaternionic Kähler spaces appear in various contexts in field and string theory. For instance, they are found in the formulation of the coupling of matter fields in $N = 2$ supergravity; that is, couplings of n spin multiplets to supergravity with two independent supersymmetric transformations. Each multiplet consists of 4 real scalars and 2 Majorana spinor fields. The $4n$ real scalars parameterise a $4n$ -dimensional real manifold M endowed with a Riemannian metric such that the kinetic part of the Lagrangian reads as a non-linear sigma model from the space time to M ; i.e., a harmonic Lagrangian. This manifold is called the target manifold of the model.

Physical and topological considerations force the holonomy group of M to be a subgroup of $Sp(n)$ (that is, M is hyperKähler) if the gravity is considered as a background field, or $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ (that is, M is quaternionic Kähler) if the gravity is considered as a dynamical field. The former case is called global supersymmetry and the latter local supersymmetry. We refer the reader to, for example, [4, 6, 13, 15].

On the other hand, the only sigma models known to be integrable are those whose target manifold is a homogeneous manifold (for example, see [10]). Therefore, it seems reasonable the existence of links between the classification of homogeneous structures and a possible classification of some physical structures and models. In fact, it would

be of interest to translate into physical terms each of the classes $\mathcal{QK}_i$ (cf. Theorem 3.15), the classes obtained by direct sum of these and the corresponding mathematical structures. Moreover, as $N = 2$ supersymmetric non-linear sigma models require non-compact target manifolds, the characterisation of quaternionic Kähler hyperbolic space, a paradigm of non-compact spaces, in terms of homogeneous structures could reveal interesting information of the aforementioned translation.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Ambrose-Singer equations. Let (M, g) be a connected, simply-connected, complete Riemannian manifold. Ambrose & Singer [5] gave a characterisation for (M, g) to be homogeneous in terms of a $(1, 2)$ tensor field S . The tensor S is usually called a homogeneous Riemannian structure, and a thorough study of these was made by Tricerri & Vanhecke in [29] and a series of papers by these authors and their collaborators. If ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection and R its curvature tensor, then one introduces the torsion connection $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$ which satisfies the Ambrose-Singer equations

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}R = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}S = 0.$$

The manifold (M, g) above admits a homogeneous Riemannian structure if and only if it is a reductive homogeneous Riemannian manifold. This means that $M = G/H$, where G is a connected Lie group acting transitively and effectively on M via isometries, H is the isotropy group at a base point $o \in M$, and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G may be decomposed into a vector space direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the Lie algebra of H and $\mathfrak{m}$ is an $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant subspace, i.e., $\text{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. As G is connected and M simply-connected, H is connected, and the latter condition is equivalent to $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$.

Conversely, let S be a homogeneous Riemannian structure on a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) that is connected and simply-connected. We fix a point $o \in M$ and put $\mathfrak{m} = T_oM$. If $\tilde{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $\tilde{\nabla}$, we can consider the holonomy algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $\tilde{\nabla}$ as the Lie subalgebra of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of $(\mathfrak{m}, g_o)$ generated by the operators $\tilde{R}_{XY}$, where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then, according to the Ambrose-Singer construction [5, 29], a Lie bracket is defined in the vector space direct sum $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{m}$ by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} [U, V] = UV - VU, & U, V \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ [U, X] = U(X), & U \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}, X \in \mathfrak{m}, \\ [X, Y] = S_X Y - S_Y X + \tilde{R}_{XY}, & X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}. \end{cases}$$

One calls $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$ the reductive pair associated to the homogeneous Riemannian structure S . The connected, simply-connected Lie group $\tilde{G}$ whose Lie algebra is $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts transitively on M via isometries and $M \equiv \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$, where $\tilde{H}$ is the connected Lie subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ whose Lie algebra is $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. The set Γ of elements of $\tilde{G}$ which act trivially on M is a discrete normal subgroup of $\tilde{G}$, and the Lie group $G = \tilde{G}/\Gamma$ acts transitively and effectively on M as a group of isometries, with isotropy group $H = \tilde{H}/\Gamma$. Then, there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi: G/H \rightarrow M$ and (M, g) is (isometric to) the reductive homogeneous Riemannian manifold $(G/H, \varphi^*g)$.

2.2. Homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures. We recall that an almost quaternionic structure on a C^∞ manifold M is a rank 3 subbundle v of the bundle of $(1, 1)$ tensors on M , such that there locally exists a basis J_1, J_2, J_3 satisfying the conditions

$$(2.3) \quad J_1^2 = J_2^2 = J_3^2 = -I, \quad J_1 J_2 = -J_2 J_1 = J_3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Here and throughout the rest of this paper, ‘etc.’ denotes the equations obtained by cyclically permuting the indices.

Such a basis is called a standard local basis of v in its domain of definition. Then, (M, v) is called an almost quaternionic manifold, and M has dimension $4n$, with $n \geq 1$. On any almost quaternionic manifold (M, v) , there is a Riemannian metric g such that $g(\sigma X, Y) + g(X, \sigma Y) = 0$, for any section σ of v . Then, (M, g, v) is called an almost quaternion-Hermitian manifold. It is known that M admits an almost quaternion-Hermitian structure if and only if the structure group of the tangent bundle TM is reducible to $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ (cf. §3.2).

Let J_1, J_2, J_3 be a standard local basis of v and let

$$\omega_a(X, Y) = g(X, J_a Y), \quad a = 1, 2, 3.$$

These are local differential forms, but the differential 4-form $\Omega = \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega_a \wedge \omega_a$ is known to be globally defined. Note that we have

$$(2.4) \quad g(J_a X, J_a Y) = g(X, Y), \quad a = 1, 2, 3.$$

The manifold is said to be quaternionic Kähler if $\nabla \Omega = 0$ or, equivalently, one has locally [22] that

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_X J_1 = \tau^3(X) J_2 - \tau^2(X) J_3, \quad \text{etc.},$$

for certain differential 1-forms τ^1, τ^2, τ^3 .

In the present paper we shall consider quaternionic Kähler manifolds of $\dim \geq 8$ and non-zero scalar curvature (see [9, 27, 28]).

Definition 2.1. ([4, p. 218]) A quaternionic Kähler manifold (M, g, ν) is said to be a *homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifold* if it admits a transitive group of isometries.

Remark 2.2. Concerning isometry groups, the situation for quaternionic Kähler manifolds is rather different from that for Kähler (see [1, p. 375]). In fact, a quaternionic Kähler manifold M with $\dim M \geq 8$ and non-zero scalar curvature is, even locally, irreducible [7], and its Ricci tensor is nowhere zero. Thus, by a theorem of Kostant [25], a transitive group of isometries induces the Lie algebra of the restricted holonomy group, and thus preserves $\text{Span}\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$, since each J_a belongs to the Lie algebra of the holonomy group (see [9, p. 407]).

On the other hand, we have the following Corollary of Kiričenko's Theorem [23] (see also [5, 16]).

Theorem 2.3. *A connected, simply-connected and complete quaternionic Kähler manifold (M, g, ν) is homogeneous if and only if there exists a tensor field S of type $(1, 2)$ on M satisfying*

$$\tilde{\nabla}g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}R = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}S = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}\Omega = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - S$.

Such a tensor S is called a *homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure* on M .

The equation $\tilde{\nabla}\Omega = 0$ is equivalent, under $\tilde{\nabla}g = 0$, to the existence of three differential 1-forms $\tilde{\tau}^1, \tilde{\tau}^2, \tilde{\tau}^3$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X J_1 = \tilde{\tau}^3(X)J_2 - \tilde{\tau}^2(X)J_3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Combined with (2.5), the previous formulæ yield

$$(2.7) \quad S_X J_1 Y - J_1 S_X Y = \pi^3(X)J_2 Y - \pi^2(X)J_3 Y, \quad \text{etc.},$$

for $\pi^a = \tau^a - \tilde{\tau}^a$, $a = 1, 2, 3$. Writing as usual $S_{XYZ} = g(S_X Y, Z)$, we have that

$$(2.8) \quad S_{XJ_1 Y J_1 Z} - S_{XYZ} = \pi^3(X)g(J_2 Y, J_1 Z) - \pi^2(X)g(J_3 Y, J_1 Z), \quad \text{etc.},$$

which together with the condition $S_{XYZ} = -S_{XZY}$, are the symmetries satisfied by a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure S .

Note moreover that S_X acts as an element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ on $T_p M$, for any $p \in M$. In fact, from the definition of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ (see (3.7) below), an element $U \in \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ is characterised by the condition $U \circ J_a - J_a \circ U = m_a^b J_b$, for a matrix $(m_a^b) \in \mathfrak{so}(3)$. Equation (2.7) shows that this is indeed satisfied by S .

2.3. Fino's classification. Let E denote the standard representation of $Sp(n)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. This representation is quaternionic, meaning that it carries an anti-linear endomorphism j that commutes with the action of $Sp(n)$ and satisfies $j^2 = -1$. Write $S^r E$ for the r th-symmetric power of E , so $S^2 E \cong \mathfrak{sp}(n) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, and let K be the irreducible $Sp(n)$ -module in $E \otimes S^2 E = S^3 E + K + E$, (K is of highest weight $(2, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$). Take H to be the standard representation of $Sp(1) \cong SU(2)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$, then $S^2 H \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $S^3 H$ is the 4-dimensional irreducible representation of $Sp(1)$.

Homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures are classified from a representation-theoretic point of view as follows.

Theorem 2.4 (Fino [16, Lemma 5.1]).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(V)_+ &= [EH] \otimes (\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n)) \\ &\cong [EH] + [ES^3 H] + [EH] + [S^3 EH] + [KH]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $[V]$ denotes the real representation whose complexification is V , sums are direct, and the tensor products signs are omitted, that is, one writes EH instead of $E \otimes H$, and so on. We shall write $\mathcal{QK}_1, \dots, \mathcal{QK}_5$ for the five Fino classes in the above order, which differs slightly from Fino's. Thus $\mathcal{QK}_1 = [EH] \subset [EH] \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, etc. We also write $\mathcal{QK}_{i+j}$ for $\mathcal{QK}_i + \mathcal{QK}_j$, etc.

2.4. Some conventions. We shall use the following conventions for the curvature tensor of a linear connection of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) :

$$\begin{aligned} R_{XY}Z &= \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z, \\ R_{XYZW} &= g(R_{XY}Z, W), \quad R_{XY}(Z, W) = R_{XYZW}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the Ricci tensor by $\mathbf{r}$ and the scalar curvature by $\mathbf{s}$. We write $\nu = \mathbf{s}/4n(n+2)$ for the reduced scalar curvature of a $4n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. In addition, the Einstein summation convention for repeated indices is assumed.

3. CLASSIFICATION BY REAL TENSORS

3.1. The space of tensors. Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J_1, J_2, J_3)$ be a quaternion-Hermitian real vector space, i.e., a $4n$ -dimensional real vector space endowed with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and operators J_1, J_2, J_3 satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). Such a space V is the model for the tangent space at any point of a quaternionic Kähler manifold. Consider the space of tensors

$$\mathcal{T}(V) = \{ S \in \otimes^3 V^* : S_{XYZ} = -S_{XZY} \}$$

and the vector subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{T}(V)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{V} = \{ S \in \otimes^3 V^* : S_{XYZ} = -S_{XZY}, \exists \pi^a \in V^* \text{ s.t. } S \text{ satisfies (2.8)} \}.$$

Any homogeneous Riemannian structure on M belongs to $\mathcal{T}(T_p M)$ pointwise, whereas homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures are pointwise in $\mathcal{V}$. We wish to explicitly decompose $\mathcal{V}$.

For each element $S \in \mathcal{V}$ consider the tensor

$$(3.1) \quad \Theta_{XYZ}^S = \frac{1}{2} \pi^a(X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle,$$

which up to a factor -4 is the sum of the right-hand sides of (2.8).

Lemma 3.1. *Given $S \in \mathcal{V}$, the tensor Θ^S lies in $\mathcal{V}$ and satisfies the equalities (2.8) with the same forms π^a , $a = 1, 2, 3$, as S .*

Proof. This follows directly from the relations $J_1 J_2 = J_3$, etc., and (2.4). $\square$

On account of the equalities (2.8), for any $S \in \mathcal{V}$ we have that

$$S_{XYZ} = \Theta_{XYZ}^S + T_{XYZ}^S,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad T_{XYZ}^S = \frac{1}{4} (S_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 S_{XJ_a Y J_a Z}).$$

The tensor T^S belongs to

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \{ T \in \otimes^3 V^* : T_{XYZ} = -T_{XZY}, T_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} = T_{XYZ} \forall a \},$$

that is, $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ defined by the conditions $\pi^a = 0$. We also define, corresponding to $T = 0$, the subspace of $\mathcal{V}$

$$\check{\mathcal{V}} = \{ \Theta \in \otimes^3 V^* : \Theta_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{2} \pi^a(X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle, \pi^a \in V^* \},$$

which can be also given as

$$(3.3) \quad \check{\mathcal{V}} = \{ S \in \mathcal{V} : S_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 S_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} = 0 \}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *The space $\mathcal{V}$ decomposes as an orthogonal direct sum*

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{V} = \check{\mathcal{V}} + \hat{\mathcal{V}}$$

with respect to the inner product

$$(3.5) \quad \langle S, S' \rangle = \sum_{r,s,t=1}^{4n} S_{e_r e_s e_t} S'_{e_r e_s e_t},$$

where $\{e_r\}_{r=1,\dots,4n}$ is any orthonormal basis of V .

Proof. If $S \in \mathcal{V}$, we have already seen that we can write it as $S = \Theta + T$, with Θ and T defined in (3.1) and (3.2). Conversely, put $S = \Theta + T$ with $\Theta_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{2}\pi^a(X)\langle J_a Y, Z \rangle$ for some one-forms π^a and $T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}$. It is easily checked that S satisfies (2.8) for the forms π^a , so $S \in \mathcal{V}$. To prove that the decomposition (3.4) is orthogonal, we take an orthonormal basis of V of the form $\{e_r\} = \{u_s, J_1 u_s, J_2 u_s, J_3 u_s\}_{s=1, \dots, n}$. Then, for $T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}}$, we have that

$$\langle T, \Theta \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{4n} \sum_{s=1}^n \pi^a(e_r) \left(T_{e_r J_a u_s u_s} - \sum_{b=1}^3 T_{e_r J_b J_a J_b u_s u_s} \right) = 0.$$

□

3.2. The action of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$. Standard local bases of v on a quaternionic Kähler manifold (M, v) are not intrinsic. In fact, given one basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$, the other bases $\{J'_1, J'_2, J'_3\}$ are obtained as

$$J'_a = m_a^b J_b, \quad a, b = 1, 2, 3,$$

for arbitrary $(m_b^a) \in SO(3)$. On the other hand, by its very definition, the holonomy group of a quaternionic Kähler manifold is contained in

$$Sp(n) Sp(1) = (Sp(n) \times Sp(1)) / \{\pm \text{Id}\} \subset SO(4n).$$

The action of this group on $\mathbb{R}^{4n} \equiv \mathbb{H}^n$ is as follows:

$$(3.6) \quad (B, q)v = Bv\bar{q}, \quad B \in Sp(n), \quad q \in Sp(1),$$

where the v are regarded as vectors in $\mathbb{H}^n$ and $\bar{q}$ denotes the quaternionic conjugate of q . It is easy to check that an orthogonal automorphism $A \in SO(4n)$ belongs to $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ if and only if

$$(3.7) \quad A \circ J_a = m_a^b J_b \circ A,$$

for a certain matrix $(m_b^a) \in SO(3)$, which is obtained from the projection homomorphism

$$Sp(n) Sp(1) \longrightarrow Sp(1) / \{\pm \text{Id}\} = SO(3).$$

The standard representation of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ on V , defined by (3.6), induces a representation of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ on $\mathcal{V}$ given by

$$(3.8) \quad (A(S))_{XYZ} = S_{A^{-1}XA^{-1}YA^{-1}Z}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *The subspaces $\check{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ are invariant under the action of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ on $\mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. Let $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}\pi^a(X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle \in \check{\mathcal{V}}$. Then

$$(A(\Theta))_{XYZ} = \Theta_{A^{-1}XA^{-1}YA^{-1}Z} = \frac{1}{2}\pi^a(A^{-1}X) \langle J_a A^{-1}Y, A^{-1}Z \rangle.$$

Since $AJ_a = m_a^b J_b A$, for $a = 1, 2, 3$, we have that

$$(A(\Theta))_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{2}\pi^a(A^{-1}X) \langle m_a^b A^{-1} J_b Y, A^{-1}Z \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\pi}^b(A^{-1}X) \langle J_b Y, Z \rangle,$$

because $A \in SO(4n)$ and we put $\bar{\pi}^b = m_a^b \pi^a$. So $A(\Theta)$ belongs to $\check{\mathcal{V}}$, with the forms $\bar{\pi}^b \circ A^{-1}$.

Let $T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}$. Then we have that

$$(3.9) \quad T_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} = T_{XYZ}, \quad a = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then $(A(T))_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} = (A(T))_{XYZ}$. Indeed, from (3.9) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (A(T))_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} &= m_a^b m_a^c T_{A^{-1}X J_b A^{-1}Y J_c A^{-1}Z} \\ &= -m_a^b m_a^c T_{A^{-1}XA^{-1}Y J_b J_c A^{-1}Z} \\ &= \left(\sum_{b=1}^3 (m_a^b)^2 \right) T_{A^{-1}XA^{-1}YA^{-1}Z} = (A(T))_{XYZ}, \end{aligned}$$

when (m_a^b) is the matrix associated to A^{-1} . $\square$

We conclude that the representation (3.8) of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ decomposes as $\mathcal{V} = \check{\mathcal{V}} + \hat{\mathcal{V}}$. However, neither space is irreducible. We devote the next two subsections to the explicit decomposition of each space in turn.

3.3. The space $\check{\mathcal{V}}$. In the space $\check{\mathcal{V}}$ one can first distinguish the subspace

$$\check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp = \{ \Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}} : \Theta_{XYZ} = \sum_{a=1}^3 \theta(J_a X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle, \theta \in V^* \}.$$

Proposition 3.4. *The space $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$ is $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ -invariant.*

Proof. Given $A \in Sp(n) Sp(1)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (A(\Theta))_{XYZ} &= \sum_{a=1}^3 \theta(J_a(A^{-1}X)) \langle J_a A^{-1}Y, A^{-1}Z \rangle \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^3 m_a^b m_a^c \theta(A^{-1}J_b X) \langle A^{-1}J_c Y, A^{-1}Z \rangle \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^3 \theta(A^{-1}J_a X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So $A(\Theta)$ belongs to $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$ with the form $\theta \circ A^{-1}$. $\square$

We now describe the orthogonal subspace in $\check{\mathcal{V}}$.

Proposition 3.5. *The space orthogonal to $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$ in $\check{\mathcal{V}}$ with respect to the scalar product defined in (3.5), is*

$$\check{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \{ \Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}} : \Theta_{XYZ} = \pi^a(X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle, \pi^a \circ J_a = 0 \}.$$

This subspace is invariant under the action of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$. So $\check{\mathcal{V}} = \check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp + \check{\mathcal{V}}_0$ as $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ -modules.

Proof. Let $\{e_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V as above. Then, for $\bar{\Theta} \in \check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$ and $\Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}}$, the condition $\langle \bar{\Theta}, \Theta \rangle = 0$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{r,s,t=1}^{4n} \bar{\Theta}_{e_r e_s e_t} \Theta_{e_r e_s e_t} = 4n \sum_{r=1}^{4n} \theta(J_a e_r) \pi^a(e_r) \\ &= -4n \sum_{r=1}^{4n} \theta(e_r) \pi^a(J_a e_r), \end{aligned}$$

and this happens for any form θ if and only if $\pi^a \circ J_a = 0$. $\square$

We now give another characterisation of $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0$.

Proposition 3.6. *For $\dim V = 4n$ with $n > 1$, one has*

$$\check{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \left\{ \Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}} : \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \Theta_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} \Theta_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} = 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. It is not difficult to check that if $\Theta_{XYZ} = \pi^a(X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle \in \check{\mathcal{V}}$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \Theta_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} \Theta_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} \\ &= (\pi^a \circ J_a) (\langle X, Y \rangle Z - \langle X, Z \rangle Y) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{S}_{123} (\pi^1 \circ J_2 - \pi^2 \circ J_1 + \pi^3) (\langle J_3 Z, X \rangle Y - \langle J_3 Y, X \rangle Z). \end{aligned}$$

If $\Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}}_0$, then the right-hand side of the previous equation vanishes. Conversely, for $X = Z$ orthogonal to $\text{Span}\{Y, J_1 Y, J_2 Y, J_3 Y\}$, one obtains that $(\pi^a \circ J_a)(Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in V$. $\square$

Let

$$c_{12}(S)(Z) = \sum_{r=1}^{4n} S_{e_r e_r Z}$$

for any orthonormal basis $\{e_r\}$, $r = 1, \dots, 4n$. Then we have the next characterisation of $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0$, which justifies the notation.

Proposition 3.7. *We have that $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \{\Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}} : c_{12}(\Theta) = 0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V as above. For $\Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}}$ and $t \in \{1, \dots, 4n\}$ fixed, we have that

$$c_{12}(\Theta)(e_t) = \sum_{s=1}^{4n} \pi^a(e_s) \langle J_a e_s, e_t \rangle = -\pi^a(J_a e_t) \langle e_t, e_t \rangle = -(\pi^a \circ J_a)(e_t).$$

□

An alternative characterisation of $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0$, based on the expression (3.3) of the elements of $\check{\mathcal{V}}$, is given by the next proposition.

Proposition 3.8. *We have that*

$$\check{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \left\{ \Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}} : \Theta_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \Theta_{J_a X J_a Y Z} = \Theta_{Y X Z} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \Theta_{J_a Y J_a X Z} \right\}.$$

3.4. The space $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$. Consider the map $L: \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}$ defined by

$$L(T)_{XYZ} = T_{ZXY} + T_{YZX} + \sum_{a=1}^3 (T_{J_a Z X J_a Y} + T_{J_a Y J_a Z X}).$$

It is easily seen that $L(T) \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}$, for any T and that L is a linear map satisfying $L(A(T)) = A(L(T))$. Moreover, we have the next results.

Proposition 3.9. *The map L satisfies $L \circ L = 8 \text{Id} - 2L$.* □

Corollary 3.10. *The minimal polynomial of L is $(x-2)(x+4)$. Thus, L is diagonalisable with two eigenspaces $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$ with respective eigenvalues 2 and -4 , and $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \hat{\mathcal{V}}^2 + \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$. Since $L(A(T)) = A(L(T))$, these eigenspaces are invariant under the action of $\text{Sp}(n) \text{Sp}(1)$.* □

Proposition 3.11. *The subspaces $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$ are mutually orthogonal.*

Proof. A straightforward calculation shows that L is self-adjoint; that is, that $\langle L(T), T' \rangle = \langle T, L(T') \rangle$, for $T, T' \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}$. Then, taking $T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^2$ and $T' \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$, one obtains that $2 \langle T, T' \rangle = -4 \langle T, T' \rangle$, thus concluding. □

3.4.1. *The subspace $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2$.* A tensor T belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2$ if and only if $L(T) = 2T$, so
(3.10)

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2 = \left\{ T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : T_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{6} \left(\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} T_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{XJ_aYJ_aZ} T_{XJ_aYJ_aZ} \right) \right\}.$$

For $\theta \in V^*$, put

$$\begin{aligned} T_{XYZ}^\theta &= \langle X, Y \rangle \theta(Z) - \langle X, Z \rangle \theta(Y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a=1}^3 (\langle X, J_a Y \rangle \theta(J_a Z) - \langle X, J_a Z \rangle \theta(J_a Y)). \end{aligned}$$

From the expression of the tensors in the subspace $\check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$, we now consider the space

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp = \{ T^\theta \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : \theta \in V^* \}.$$

The tensors T^θ in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$ satisfy the cyclic sum property (3.10), showing $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp \subset \hat{\mathcal{V}}^2$, and also the condition $c_{12}(T^\theta) = 4(n+1)\theta$. It is also straightforward to check the invariance of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$ under the action of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$. Indeed, $A(T^\theta) = T^{\theta \circ A^{-1}}$, for $A \in Sp(n) Sp(1)$.

Proposition 3.12. *The subspace orthogonal to $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$ in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is the subspace defined by $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \{ T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : c_{12}(T) = 0 \}$. This space is thus invariant under the action of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V as above. Let $T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$. Then $\langle T^\theta, T \rangle = 0$ for any $T^\theta \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$. In particular, taking θ to be the dual basis element to e_ℓ , $\ell \in \{1, \dots, 4n\}$, we have that

$$\langle T^\theta, T \rangle = 2 \sum_{r=1}^{4n} (T_{e_r e_r e_\ell} + T_{J e_r J e_r e_\ell}) = 8c_{12}(T)(e_\ell).$$

□

3.4.2. *The subspace $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$.* A tensor T belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$ if and only if $L(T) = -4T$, so

$$(3.11) \quad \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4} = \left\{ T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} T_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{XJ_aYJ_aZ} T_{XJ_aYJ_aZ} = 0 \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.13. *One has $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4} = \{ T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} T_{XYZ} = 0 \}$.*

Proof. This is immediate from

$$0 = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \left(\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} T_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{XJ_aYJ_aZ} T_{XJ_aYJ_aZ} \right) = 4 \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} T_{XYZ}.$$

□

Proposition 3.14. *The space $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$ is contained in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$, so the tensors $T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$ are traceless with respect to c_{12} .*

Proof. Let $\{e_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V as above. If $T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$, then it satisfies the cyclic sum condition as in (3.11), and in particular we have that

$$0 = 3T_{e_s e_s e_t} + \sum_{a=1}^3 (T_{J_a e_s J_a e_s e_t} + T_{J_a e_t e_s J_a e_s}).$$

Summing over s , one gets $0 = 6c_{12}(T)(e_t) + \sum_{a=1}^3 \sum_{s=1}^{4n} T_{J_a e_t e_s J_a e_s}$.

We claim that the second summand vanishes. Indeed, by the cyclic sum property we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{a=1}^3 \sum_{s=1}^{4n} \left(T_{J_a e_t e_s J_a e_s} + T_{e_s J_a e_s J_a e_t} + T_{J_a e_s J_a e_t e_s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{b=1}^3 (T_{J_a e_t J_b e_s J_b J_a e_s} + T_{J_b e_s J_b J_a e_s J_a e_t} + T_{J_b J_a e_s J_a e_t J_b e_s}) \right) \\ &= 4 \sum_{a=1}^3 \sum_{s=1}^{4n} \left(T_{J_a e_t e_s J_a e_s} + T_{e_s e_s e_t} + T_{J_a e_s J_a e_s e_t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{b=1}^3 (T_{J_b e_s J_a J_b J_a e_s e_t} + T_{J_b J_a e_s J_b J_a e_t e_s}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the last four terms we obtain multiples of $c_{12}(T)(e_t)$ that cancel and so we are left with the first term being zero, from which the result follows. □

As $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2$ is orthogonal to $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$, then $\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2 \cap \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$ is another subrepresentation, orthogonal to the above ones. According to the previous results, one has the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{V} = \check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp + \check{\mathcal{V}}_0 + \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp + (\hat{\mathcal{V}}^2 \cap \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0) + \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}.$$

3.5. The classification theorem.

Theorem 3.15. *If $n \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{V}$ decomposes into the direct sum of the following subspaces invariant and irreducible under the action of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{QK}_1 &= \{\Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}} : \Theta_{XYZ} = \sum_{a=1}^3 \theta(J_a X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle, \theta \in V^*\}, \\ \mathcal{QK}_2 &= \{\Theta \in \check{\mathcal{V}} : \Theta_{XYZ} = \theta^a(X) \langle J_a Y, Z \rangle, \theta^a \circ J_a = 0, \\ &\quad \theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3 \in V^*\}, \\ \mathcal{QK}_3 &= \{T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : T_{XYZ} = \langle X, Y \rangle \theta(Z) - \langle X, Z \rangle \theta(Y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a=1}^3 (\langle X, J_a Y \rangle \theta(J_a Z) - \langle X, J_a Z \rangle \theta(J_a Y)), \theta \in V^*\}, \\ \mathcal{QK}_4 &= \{T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : T_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{6} (\mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} T_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 \mathfrak{S}_{XJ_a Y J_a Z} T_{XJ_a Y J_a Z}), \\ &\quad \sum_{r=1}^{4n} T_{e_r e_r Z} = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{QK}_5 &= \{T \in \hat{\mathcal{V}} : \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} T_{XYZ} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\mathcal{QK}_1 = \check{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$, $\mathcal{QK}_2 = \check{\mathcal{V}}_0$, $\mathcal{QK}_3 = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0^\perp$, $\mathcal{QK}_4 = \hat{\mathcal{V}}^2 \cap \hat{\mathcal{V}}_0$ and $\mathcal{QK}_5 = \hat{\mathcal{V}}^{-4}$.

Proof. Noting that $\mathcal{V} \cong [EH] \cong \mathcal{V}^*$, it suffices to identify the five modules above with the modules in Fino's classification (see §2.3)

$$[EH] + [ES^3H] + [EH] + [S^3EH] + [KH].$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{QK}_1 \cong [EH] \cong \mathcal{QK}_3$, with $\mathcal{QK}_1$ being the copy in $[EH] \otimes [S^2H]$ and $\mathcal{QK}_3$ the copy in $[EH] \otimes [S^2E]$. The definition of $\mathcal{QK}_2$ shows that it is the complement of $[EH] \cong \mathcal{QK}_1$ in $[EH] \otimes [S^2H]$, so $\mathcal{QK}_2 \cong [ES^3H]$.

Now we have that

$$\mathcal{QK}_{3+4+5} \cong [EH] \otimes [S^2E] \cong [EH] + [S^3EH] + [KH],$$

and know that $\mathcal{QK}_3 \cong [EH]$.

We can identify the module $[KH]$ as follows. Note that $[KH]$ is both a submodule of $[EH] \otimes [S^2E] \subset V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^*$ and of $[\Lambda^2 E] \otimes [EH] \subset S^2 V^* \otimes V^*$. Indeed,

$$[\Lambda^2 E] \otimes [EH] \cong 2[EH] + [\Lambda_0^3 EH] + [KH],$$

which does not contain $[S^3EH]$. Thus an equivariant map $\mathcal{QK}_{4+5} \rightarrow [\Lambda^2E] \otimes [EH]$ will always contain $[S^3EH]$ in its kernel and can only be non-zero on a module isomorphic to $[KH]$. The module $[\Lambda^2E] \subset S^2V^*$ consists of symmetric bilinear forms b with $b(J\cdot, J\cdot) = b$ for each J , so let $p: S^2V^* \otimes V^* \rightarrow [\Lambda^2E] \otimes [EH]$ be given by

$$p(T)_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{4} \left(T_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 T_{J_a X J_a Y Z} \right).$$

Consider $\mathcal{QK}_5$, which consists of the $T \in V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^*$ such that $T_{XYZ} + T_{YZX} + T_{ZXY} = 0$. The projection to this module is given by mapping T to U , where $U_{XYZ} = \frac{1}{4}(T_{XYZ} + \sum_{a=1}^3 T_{X J_a Y J_a Z})$ and then by mapping U to $\frac{1}{6}(2 - L)U$.

Applying these maps to the element $\alpha \otimes \beta \wedge \gamma \in V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^*$, the projection to $\mathcal{QK}_5$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{12} (\alpha \otimes \beta \wedge \gamma - 2\beta \otimes \gamma \wedge \alpha - 2\gamma \otimes \alpha \wedge \beta \\ & + \sum_{a=1}^3 (\alpha \otimes J_a \beta \wedge J_a \gamma - 2J_a \beta \otimes J_a \gamma \wedge \alpha - 2J_a \gamma \otimes \alpha \wedge J_a \beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Symmetrising in the first two variables we get the following element of $S^2V^* \otimes V^*$:

$$\frac{1}{8} (\alpha \vee \beta \otimes \gamma - \gamma \vee \alpha \otimes \beta + \sum_{a=1}^3 (\alpha \vee J_a \beta \otimes J_a \gamma - \alpha \vee J_a \gamma \otimes J_a \beta)).$$

Applying the projection p we get $1/32$ times

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \vee \beta \otimes \gamma - \gamma \vee \alpha \otimes \beta + \sum_{a=1}^3 (\alpha \vee J_a \beta \otimes J_a \gamma \\ & - \alpha \vee J_a \gamma \otimes J_a \beta + J_a \alpha \vee J_a \beta \otimes \gamma - J_a \gamma \vee J_a \alpha \otimes \beta \\ & + \sum_{b=1}^3 (J_b \alpha \vee J_b J_a \beta \otimes J_a \gamma - J_b J_a \gamma \vee J_b \alpha \otimes J_a \beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking β and γ linearly independent over $\mathbb{H}$, which is possible for $\dim M \geq 8$, i.e., $n \geq 2$, and examining the coefficient of $\cdot \otimes \gamma$, one sees that this element is non-zero. Thus $\mathcal{QK}_5 \cong [KH]$ and hence $\mathcal{QK}_4 \cong [S^3EH]$. $\square$

Corollary 3.16. *Only the following inclusions hold between classes of homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures and homogeneous Riemannian structures:*

- (1) $\mathcal{QK}_5 \subset \mathcal{T}_2$,

(2) $\mathcal{QK}_{2+4+5} \subset \mathcal{T}_{2+3}$.

In particular, a naturally reductive homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure is symmetric.

Proof. Use the above descriptions of the modules $\mathcal{QK}_i$ and Table I, page 41 in [29]. $\square$

4. GEOMETRIC RESULTS

Let (M, g, v) be a connected, simply-connected and complete quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension $4n$. Then each tangent space $T_p M$, $p \in M$, with $(g, J_1, J_2, J_3)_p$ is a quaternion-Hermitian vector space. One has the standard representation of $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ on $T_p M$ and hence it is possible to define and decompose the vector space $\mathcal{V}_p \subset \mathcal{T}(T_p M)$ of pointwise homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures as in the previous section. This decomposition depends only on v_p and not on the chosen bases $(J_1, J_2, J_3)_p$, so the irreducible summands $(\mathcal{QK}_i)_p$ give well-defined bundles $\mathcal{QK}_i$ over M .

Suppose that M admits a non-vanishing homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure S . Then, by Theorem 2.3, M is homogeneous. Hence, if S_p belongs to a given invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}_p$, at $p \in M$, then S_q belongs to the similar invariant subspace of $\mathcal{V}_q$ at any other $q \in M$ and is a section of the corresponding vector bundle.

4.1. The class $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$. The purpose of this section is to prove one implication of Theorem 1.1, namely:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose M is a connected quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension $4n \geq 8$ admitting a non-vanishing homogeneous quaternionic structure $S \in \mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$. Then S belongs to $\mathcal{QK}_3$ and M is locally isometric to the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$.*

The question of existence of $\mathcal{QK}_3$ -structures on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ will not be addressed until §5.2.

Remark 4.2. Computing dimensions one finds

$$\dim[EH] = 4n, \quad \dim[S^3 EH] = 8n,$$

$$\dim[S^3 EH] = \frac{4}{3}n(n+1)(2n+1), \quad \dim[KH] = \frac{16}{3}n(n^2-1),$$

so $\mathcal{QK}_1$, $\mathcal{QK}_2$ and $\mathcal{QK}_3$ are the modules whose dimensions grow linearly with $\dim M$ in $T^* \otimes (\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n))$. It is thus plausible that $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$ corresponds to spaces of constant negative quaternionic curvature, since these are scarce in all homogeneous quaternionic Kähler spaces. This phenomenon is similar to the Riemannian [29] and Kähler [1, 18] cases.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 will be divided in to a number of steps. For a couple of these we give alternate arguments: one representation-theoretic, the other tensorial. The former are often shorter and more transparent; however, certain details of the tensorial calculations are needed in the derivation of later results.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose M is a connected quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension $4n \geq 8$ with a non-vanishing homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure $S \in \mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$. Then M is locally symmetric.*

First proof. The curvature R of any $4n$ -dimensional quaternionic Kähler manifold M is given [2] by

$$R = \nu_q R_0 + R_1,$$

with R_0 equal to the curvature tensor of the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{HP}(n)$, $R_1 \in [S^4 E]$ and $\nu_q = \nu/4 = \mathbf{s}/16n(n+2)$, one quarter of the reduced scalar curvature. If the structure is homogeneous, then

$$0 = \tilde{\nabla} R = \nu_q \tilde{\nabla} R_0 + \tilde{\nabla} R_1 = \nabla R_1 - S R_1$$

for the following reasons. The tensor R_0 is an $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ -invariant algebraic curvature tensor built from the metric and local quaternionic structures in such a way that $\nabla R_0 = 0$. Also S is an element of $\mathcal{V} = T^*M \otimes (\mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(n))$ and S_X acts via the differential of the $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ -action, so $S_X R_0 = 0$.

We may further decompose $S = S_H + S_E$, where $S_H \in T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and $S_E \in T^*M \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(n)$. As $R_1 \in [S^4 E]$, we have that $S_H R_1 = 0$. Thus $\nabla R = \nabla R_1 = S_E R_1$. We conclude that if $S_E = 0$, i.e., if S is of type $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$, then $\nabla R = 0$ and g is locally symmetric. $\square$

Second proof. Any quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension $4n \geq 8$ is Einstein [2, 8]. Moreover, one has

$$(4.1) \quad R_{XYJ_1ZW} + R_{XYZJ_1W} \\ = \frac{1}{n+2} (\mathbf{r}(J_2X, Y)g(J_3Z, W) - \mathbf{r}(J_3X, Y)g(J_2Z, W)),$$

etc., which is proved in [22, (2.13)] under a different curvature convention, and which may be found with a misprint in [9, p. 404].

As $S \in \mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$ we have locally that $S_{XYZ} = \theta^a(X)g(J_aY, Z)$, with $\theta^a \in T^*M$. The second Ambrose-Singer equation $\tilde{\nabla} R = 0$ of (2.1) is

$$(4.2) \quad (\nabla_X R)_{YZWU} \\ = -\theta^a(X) (R_{J_aYZWU} + R_{YJ_aZWU} + R_{YZJ_aWU} + R_{YZWJ_aU}).$$

But (4.1) implies that the right-hand side vanishes. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let M be a quaternionic Kähler manifold of dimension $4n \geq 8$. Suppose S is a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure with $S \in \mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$ and with non-zero projection to $\mathcal{QK}_3$. Then M has constant quaternionic curvature.*

First proof. We saw in the first proof of Lemma 4.3 that $\nabla R = S_E R_1$. The assumption that the projection of S to $\mathcal{QK}_3$ is non-zero implies that $S_E \in [EH]$ and is non-zero. By the differential Bianchi identity one has that $\nabla R \in [S^5 EH]$, see [26, proof of Th. 2.6], thus

$$(4.3) \quad S_E \otimes R_1 \in [EH] \otimes [S^4 E] \cong [S^5 EH] + [S^3 EH] + [V^{(31)} H],$$

where $V^{(31)}$ is irreducible.

The map $S_E \otimes R_1 \mapsto S_E R_1$ is the composition

$$\phi: [EH] \otimes [S^4 E] \hookrightarrow [EH] \otimes [S^2 E] \otimes [S^4 E] \longrightarrow [EH] \otimes [S^4 E],$$

where the first map is given by the inclusion of $[EH]$ in $[EH] \otimes [S^2 E]$ as the module $\mathcal{QK}_3$ and the second map is given by the action of $[S^2 E] \cong \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ on $[S^4 E]$. This composition is linear and $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ -equivariant.

Write $\{h, \tilde{h}\}$ for a complex orthogonal basis of H . Consider the elements $\alpha = e_1 h \otimes \tilde{e}_1^4$ and $\beta = e_1 h \otimes e_1 \vee \tilde{e}_1 \vee e_2^2$ of $EH \otimes S^4 E$, where $\tilde{e} = je$, and $e_1, \tilde{e}_1, e_2$ are linearly independent. Then $\phi(\alpha)$ has non-zero components in $S^5 EH$ and $S^E H$, whereas $\phi(\beta)$ lies in neither of these modules. By Schur's Lemma, we conclude that ϕ is an isomorphism on each component of the decomposition (4.3).

As $\nabla R = S_E R_1 \in [S^5 EH]$, we have $S_E \otimes R_1 \in [S^5 H]$ too. Now $S_E = eh + \tilde{e}\tilde{h}$, with $\tilde{e} = je$, since S_E is a real element. For $S_E \otimes R_1$ to be totally symmetric in the e 's we must have that $R_1 \in S^4\{e, \tilde{e}\}$. But h and $\tilde{h}$ are linearly independent so $e \otimes R_1$ and $\tilde{e} \otimes R_1$ are each in $S^5 E$; the first implies that $R_1 = ae^5$, the second that $R_1 = b\tilde{e}^5$. As e and $\tilde{e}$ are linearly independent, we conclude that $R_1 = 0$. Thus $R = \nu_q R_0$ and our space has constant quaternionic curvature. $\square$

Second proof. For $S \in \mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$, we have locally

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} S_X Y &= g(X, Y)\xi - g(\xi, Y)X \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(\xi, J_a Y)J_a X - g(X, J_a Y)J_a \xi + g(X, \zeta^a)J_a Y), \end{aligned}$$

with ξ and ζ^a vector fields metrically dual to the one-forms θ and θ^a of Theorem 3.15. Our assumptions imply in addition that $\xi \neq 0$.

We compute first, $\tilde{\nabla}\xi$ and $\tilde{\nabla}\zeta^a$. The third Ambrose-Singer equation of (2.1) can be written as $\tilde{\nabla}_Z(S_X Y) = S_{\tilde{\nabla}_Z X} Y + S_X \tilde{\nabla}_Z Y$. Taking the covariant derivative of (4.4) with respect to Z and using equations (2.6), we get

$$(4.5) \quad 0 = g(X, Y) \tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi, Y) X \\ + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi, J_a Y) J_a X + g(X, J_a Y) J_a \tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi) \\ + \mathfrak{S}_{123} g(X, \tilde{\nabla}_Z \zeta^1 - \tilde{\tau}^3(Z) \zeta^2 + \tilde{\tau}^2(Z) \zeta^3) J_1 Y,$$

for all X, Y, Z . We may perform two operations on this equation. Either take the inner product with X or put $X = Y$. In each case, now take the sum over X in an orthonormal basis. This gives the two relations $(4n - 4) \tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi = \pm W$, for a certain vector field W , and we thus have $\tilde{\nabla}_Z \xi = 0$, or, equivalently,

$$\nabla_Z \xi = S_Z \xi.$$

It follows that $g(\xi, \xi)$ is a constant function, as $Xg(\xi, \xi) = 2g(\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi, \xi) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Equation (4.5) now implies

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_Z \zeta^1 = \tilde{\tau}^3(Z) \zeta^2 - \tilde{\tau}^2(Z) \zeta^3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

The second Ambrose-Singer equation of (2.1) can be written as

$$(4.7) \quad (\nabla_X R)_{YZWU} \\ = -R_{S_X Y Z W U} - R_{Y S_X Z W U} - R_{Y Z S_X W U} - R_{Y Z W S_X U}.$$

Substituting (4.4) in (4.7), one sees that the terms containing ζ^a are expressed as the summands with four R 's in the right-hand side of (4.2), but we have seen that each such summand vanishes.

Taking then the cyclic sum with respect to X, Y, Z in (4.7), we get after a quite long calculation, using the two Bianchi identities and the relation (4.1), that

$$(4.8) \quad R_{XY} \xi = \nu_q \{ g(X, \xi) Y - g(Y, \xi) X \\ + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(J_a X, \xi) J_a Y - g(J_a Y, \xi) J_a X - 2g(J_a X, Y) J_a \xi) \},$$

which is the expression of the curvature tensor $R(X, Y)Z$, for $Z = \xi$, of a quaternionic Kähler manifold with constant quaternionic curvature $\nu = 4\nu_q$ [2, 22]. We only need to prove that the expression similar to (4.8) is true for $R_{XY}Z$, with Z arbitrary, instead of the particular ξ .

For this, we apply again the second Bianchi identity to the second Ambrose-Singer equation $\tilde{\nabla}R = 0$, so

$$(4.9) \quad 0 = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ}(R_{S_XYZWU} + R_{YS_XZWU} + R_{YZS_XWU} + R_{YZWS_XU}).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we write $\Theta^1(X, Y, Z, W)$ for the right-hand side of (4.1). Expanding the terms S_X in (4.9), on account of formulæ (4.4) and (4.1), we obtain that

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = & \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ -2g(X, \xi)R_{ZYWV} - 2g(X, J_aY)R_{J_a\xi ZWV} + g(X, W)R_{YZ\xi U} \\ & + g(X, U)R_{YZW\xi} - g(X, J_aW)R_{YZJ_a\xi U} - g(X, J_aU)R_{YZWJ_a\xi} \} \\ & + \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ g(\xi, J_aX)\Theta^a(W, U, Z, Y) + g(J_aW, \xi)\Theta^a(X, Y, Z, U) \\ & + g(J_aU, \xi)\Theta^a(X, Y, W, Z) - 2g(X, J_aY)\Theta^a(W, U, \xi, Z) \\ & - g(X, J_aW)\Theta^a(Y, Z, \xi, U) - g(X, J_aU)\Theta^a(Y, Z, W, \xi) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where, as we saw, the terms in ζ^a in (4.4) do not actually contribute.

Again from (4.1), after some computations, the second cyclic sum above can be written as

$$\nu \mathfrak{S}_{123}(\omega_2(W, U)(J_3\xi)^b - \omega_3(W, U)(J_2\xi)^b) \wedge \omega_1.$$

We now work out the first cyclic sum in (4.10). First, we write this as

$$\begin{aligned} 2\theta \wedge R_{WU} - 2 \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega_a \wedge R_{WU\xi J_a(\cdot)} + W^b \wedge R_{\xi U} - U^b \wedge R_{\xi W} \\ + \sum_{a=1}^3 ((J_aW)^b \wedge R_{\xi J_aU} - (J_aU)^b \wedge R_{\xi J_aW}). \end{aligned}$$

Now making use of formula (4.8), after some simplifications we obtain that this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \wedge \left\{ 2R_{WU} - \frac{1}{2}\nu \left[W^b \wedge U^b + \sum_{a=1}^3 ((J_aW)^b \wedge (J_aU)^b + 2\omega_a(W, U)\omega_a) \right] \right\} \\ + \nu \mathfrak{S}_{123} \{ \omega_3(W, U)(J_2\xi)^b - \omega_2(W, U)(J_3\xi)^b \} \wedge \omega_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, expression (4.10) can be written as

$$0 = \theta \wedge \left\{ R_{WU} - \nu_q [W^b \wedge U^b + \sum_{a=1}^3 ((J_a W)^b \wedge (J_a U)^b + 2\omega_a(W, U)\omega_a)] \right\}.$$

Contracting with ξ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \left\{ R_{WU} - \nu_q [W^b \wedge U^b + \sum_{a=1}^3 ((J_a W)^b \wedge (J_a U)^b + 2\omega_a(W, U)\omega_a)] \right\} \\ & - \theta \wedge \left\{ R_{WU\xi} - \nu_q \left[g(W, \xi)U^b - g(U, \xi)W^b + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(J_a W, \xi)(J_a U)^b \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - g(J_a U, \xi)(J_a W)^b + 2\omega_a(W, U)(J_a \xi)^b) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By formula (4.1), the second curly bracket vanishes, so

$$R_{WU} = \nu_q \{ W^b \wedge U^b + \sum_{a=1}^3 ((J_a W)^b \wedge (J_a U)^b + 2\omega_a(W, U)\omega_a) \},$$

i.e., (M, g, ν) is a space of constant quaternionic curvature $\nu = 4\nu_q$. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *If $S \in \mathcal{QK}_{1+2+3}$ and has non-zero projection to $\mathcal{QK}_3$, then the manifold is locally isometric to the quaternionic hyperbolic space and S belongs to $\mathcal{QK}_3$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, the tensor S is given by (4.4) with $\xi \neq 0$, from which $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0$ and equation (4.8) were derived, however we do not yet know the value of ν_q . On the other hand, using $\nabla = \tilde{\nabla} + S$, $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0$ and equations (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.11) \quad \nabla_X J_1 \xi = & \tilde{\tau}^3(X) J_2 \xi - \tilde{\tau}^2(X) J_3 \xi + g(X, J_1 \xi) \xi \\ & + \sum_{b=1}^3 (g(\xi, J_b J_1 \xi) J_b X - g(X, J_b J_1 \xi) J_b \xi + g(X, \zeta^b) J_b J_1 \xi), \end{aligned}$$

which will be used in conjunction with

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.12) \quad R_{XY}\xi &= -(\nabla_X(\nabla\xi))_Y + (\nabla_Y(\nabla\xi))_X = -(\nabla_X(S\xi))_Y + (\nabla_Y(S\xi))_X \\
 &= -g(Y, \nabla_X\xi)\xi - g(Y, \xi)\nabla_X\xi + g(X, \nabla_Y\xi)\xi + g(X, \xi)\nabla_Y\xi \\
 &\quad + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(Y, \nabla_X J_a \xi) J_a \xi + g(Y, J_a \xi) \nabla_X J_a \xi \\
 &\quad - g(X, \nabla_Y J_a \xi) J_a \xi - g(X, J_a \xi) \nabla_Y J_a \xi \\
 &\quad - g(Y, \nabla_X \zeta^a) J_a \xi - g(Y, \zeta^a) \nabla_X J_a \xi \\
 &\quad + g(X, \nabla_Y \zeta^a) J_a \xi + g(X, \zeta^a) \nabla_Y J_a \xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

This will be examined in three stages to find the sign of the scalar curvature and to show that each ζ^a is zero.

Step 1: Take $X, Y \in (\mathbb{H}\xi)^\perp$. Then (4.8) says $g(R_{XY}\xi, X) = 0$. However, from (4.12) we have

$$g(R_{XY}\xi, X) = -g(Y, \zeta^a)g(\nabla_X J_a \xi, X) + g(X, \zeta^a)g(\nabla_Y J_a \xi, X).$$

Now taking $Y = J_a X$ and using (4.11), we find that $g(R_{XJ_a X}\xi, X) = g(X, \zeta^a)g(\xi, \xi)g(X, X)$. As $X \in (\mathbb{H}\xi)^\perp$ is arbitrary, one deduces that

$$(4.13) \quad \zeta^a \in \mathbb{H}\xi.$$

Step 2: Take $X = \xi$ and $Y \in (\mathbb{H}\xi)^\perp$ in (4.12). As $g(\xi, \xi)$ is constant, we have that $g(\xi, \nabla_Y \xi) = 0$. Moreover, using (2.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(Y, \nabla_\xi \xi) &= 0, \quad g(Y, \nabla_\xi \zeta^a) = 0, \\
 g(Y, \nabla_\xi J_1 \xi) &= g(Y, J_1 \nabla_\xi \xi) + g(Y, \tau^3(\xi) J_2 \xi) - g(Y, \tau^2(\xi) J_3 \xi) = 0, \\
 g(\xi, \nabla_Y J_1 \xi) &= g(\xi, J_1 \nabla_Y \xi) + g(\xi, \tau^3(Y) J_2 \xi) - g(\xi, \tau^2(Y) J_3 \xi) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

etc., which leads to

$$R_{\xi Y}\xi = -g(\xi, \xi)^2 Y - g(\xi, \zeta^a)g(\xi, \xi) J_a Y.$$

Comparing with (4.8) which says $R_{\xi Y}\xi = \nu_q g(\xi, \xi) Y$, we obtain $\nu_q = -g(\xi, \xi)$ and

$$(4.14) \quad g(\xi, \zeta^a) = 0.$$

Step 3: Take $X, Y \in (\mathbb{H}\xi)^\perp$ again and use (4.12) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{XY}\xi &= -g(Y, \nabla_X \xi)\xi + g(X, \nabla_Y \xi)\xi \\
 &\quad + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(Y, \nabla_X J_a \xi) J_a \xi - g(X, \nabla_Y J_a \xi) J_a \xi \\
 &\quad - g(Y, \nabla_X \zeta^a) J_a \xi + g(X, \nabla_Y \zeta^a) J_a \xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Making use of (4.6), the expression for S and (4.13), we obtain after some calculations that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{XY}\xi &= -2 \sum_{a=1}^3 g(Y, J_a X) g(\xi, \xi) J_a \xi \\ &\quad - \sum_{a,b=1}^3 (g(Y, J_a X) g(\xi, J_a \zeta^b) - g(X, J_a Y) g(\xi, J_a \zeta^b)) J_b \xi. \end{aligned}$$

However, formula (4.8) says $R_{XY}\xi = -2 \sum_{a=1}^3 g(\xi, \xi) g(J_a X, Y) J_a \xi$. So we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^3 g(Y, J_a X) g(\xi, J_a \zeta^b) - g(X, J_a Y) g(\xi, J_a \zeta^b) = 0, \quad \text{for } b = 1, 2, 3.$$

Taking $Y = J_c X$ we conclude that $-2g(X, X)g(\xi, J_c \zeta^b) = 0$, for all b, c . Together with (4.13) and (4.14) this gives $\zeta^a = 0$, for each a , and hence $S \in \mathcal{QK}_3$. Consequently, our manifold is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space of constant quaternionic curvature $-4g(\xi, \xi)$, as claimed. $\square$

4.2. Non-existence of $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$. To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 we need to show that structures of type $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$ do not occur on manifolds of dimension 8 or more. For these structures, the tensor S lies in $[EH] \otimes [S^2 H]$.

Lemma 4.6. *If a $(1, 2)$ tensor S satisfies the conditions for the tensors in $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$ and also $\tilde{\nabla} S = 0$, then S defines a homogeneous structure.*

Proof. We need to show that $\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{R} = 0$. Since $\tilde{\nabla} S = 0$, we have that

$$(4.15) \quad \tilde{R} = R - R^S = \nu_q R_0 + R_1 - R^S,$$

where R_0 is the curvature tensor of $\mathbb{HP}(n)$, $R_1 \in [S^4 E]$ and

$$(4.16) \quad R_{XY}^S Z = S_Y(S_X Z) - S_{S_Y X} Z - (S_X(S_Y Z) - S_{S_X Y} Z).$$

By Lemma 4.3, M is a locally symmetric space, so $\nabla R_1 = 0$. This implies $\tilde{\nabla}_X R_1 = -S_X R_1 = 0$, since $[S^2 H] \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ acts trivially on $[S^4 E]$. However, $\tilde{\nabla}$ is an $Sp(n) Sp(1)$ -connection, so $\tilde{\nabla}_X R_0 = 0$. We are left with $\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{R} = -\tilde{\nabla} R^S$, which is zero once $\tilde{\nabla} S = 0$. $\square$

Since $S \in [EH] \otimes [S^2 H]$ we may write $S = (X^a)^\flat \otimes J_a$ for some vector fields X^a , which means $S_X = g(X, X^a) J_a$. The equation $\tilde{\nabla}_X S = 0$ is

equivalent to $\nabla_X S = S_X \cdot S$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (S_X \cdot S)_Y Z &= S_X S_Y Z - S_{S_X Y} Z - S_Y S_X Z \\ &= \underset{123}{\mathfrak{S}} \{ 2g(X, X^2)g(Y, X^3) - 2g(X, X^3)g(Y, X^2) \\ &\quad - g(X, X^b)g(J_b Y, X^1) \} J_1 Z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tilde{\nabla} S = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla X^1 = (2(X^2)^\flat - \tau^2) \otimes X^3 - (2(X^3)^\flat - \tau^3) \otimes X^2 \\ + (X^a)^\flat \otimes J_a X^1,$$

etc., where τ^a are given by (2.5).

Lemma 4.7. *If M admits a non-vanishing homogeneous structure in the class $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$, then $\dim M \leq 12$. Moreover M is not of type $\mathcal{QK}_1$.*

Proof. Equation (4.17) implies that

$$\nabla_X X^1 \in \text{Span}\{X^2, X^3, J_1 X^1, J_2 X^1, J_3 X^1\}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

So the distribution given by the quaternionic span $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{H}\{X^1, X^2, X^3\}$ is parallel and hence holonomy invariant [9, Prop. 10.21]. The irreducibility of M implies that this distribution must be the whole tangent space. This implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M \leq 12$. If M is of type $\mathcal{QK}_1$, then $X^a = J_a X_0$ for a fixed non-zero vector field X_0 . But this implies that $\mathcal{D} = TM$ is four-dimensional, which we have specifically excluded. $\square$

Note that we may now assume that at least two of the X^a 's are linearly independent over $\mathbb{H}$.

Let us now compute the Riemannian curvature. Regarding R as the alternation of $-\nabla\nabla$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} RX^1 &= -\mathbf{a}\nabla\nabla X^1 = -\mathbf{a}\nabla(2X^2 - \tau^2)X^3 + \mathbf{a}(2X^2 - \tau^2)\nabla X^3 + \dots \\ &= (2\kappa^3 - \Omega^3)X^2 - (2\kappa^2 - \Omega^2)X^3 - \kappa^1 J_1 X^1 - \kappa^2 J_2 X^1 - \kappa^3 J_3 X^1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Omega^a = d\tau^a + \tau^b \wedge \tau^c, \quad \kappa^a = 2X^b \wedge X^c + X^d \wedge J_d X^a,$$

with (a, b, c) a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$.

As M is quaternionic Kähler, the curvature splits as

$$R = R_E + R_H,$$

with $R_E \in [S^4 E] + \mathbb{R} \subset [S^2(S^2 E)]$ and $R_H \in \mathbb{R} \subset [S^2(S^2 H)]$. The part with values in $[S^2 E]$ is given by

$$R_E X = \frac{1}{4}(RX - \sum_a J_a R(J_a X)) = RX - \frac{1}{4} \sum_a J_a R(J_a X).$$

Now $RJ_1 = -\Omega^3 J_2 + \Omega^2 J_3$, so

$$\begin{aligned} R_E X^1 &= R X^1 - \frac{1}{2} (\Omega^1 J_1 + \Omega^2 J_2 + \Omega^3 J_3) X^1 \\ &= 2\Theta^3 X^2 - 2\Theta^2 X^3 - \Theta^1 J_1 X^1 - \Theta^2 J_2 X^1 - \Theta^3 J_3 X^1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta^a = \kappa^a - \frac{1}{2}\Omega^a$.

Let us work with the above expression in two complementary ways. Firstly, we have that $(R_E)_{AB}$ is a skew-adjoint endomorphism of TM . Thus

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^1, X^1 \rangle = \alpha^3 \Theta^3 - \alpha^2 \Theta^2,$$

where

$$\alpha^a = \langle X^b, X^c \rangle.$$

And similarly

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^1, X^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^2, X^1 \rangle = \alpha^2 \Theta^1 - \alpha^1 \Theta^2 + \Theta^3 (\delta^2 - \delta^1),$$

where

$$\delta^a = \|X^a\|^2.$$

In addition we know that R_E commutes with each J_a , so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E (J_1 X^1), X^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^2, J_1 X^1 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^1, J_1 X^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^2, J_1 X^1 \rangle \\ &= \beta^{13} \Theta^1 + (\gamma^3 - \gamma^2) \Theta^2 - \beta^{31} \Theta^3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta^{bc} = \langle J_b X^b, X^c \rangle \quad \text{no sum}, \quad \gamma^a = \langle X^a, J_b X^c \rangle.$$

Similarly,

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E J_2 X^1, X^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^2, J_2 X^1 \rangle = (\gamma^2 - \gamma^3) \Theta^1 + \beta^{23} \Theta^2 - \beta^{12} \Theta^3$$

and

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E J_3 X^1, X^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle R_E X^2, J_3 X^1 \rangle = (\beta^{21} - \beta^{31}) \Theta^1 + (\beta^{12} - \beta^{32}) \Theta^2.$$

We thus have the following system of equations

$$(4.18) \quad \alpha^1 \Theta^1 = \alpha^2 \Theta^2 = \alpha^3 \Theta^3,$$

$$(4.19) \quad \alpha^b \Theta^a - \alpha^a \Theta^b + (\delta^b - \delta^a) \Theta^c = 0,$$

$$(4.20) \quad \beta^{ac} \Theta^a + (\gamma^c - \gamma^b) \Theta^b - \beta^{ca} \Theta^c = 0,$$

$$(4.21) \quad (\gamma^b - \gamma^c) \Theta^a + \beta^{bc} \Theta^b - \beta^{ab} \Theta^c = 0,$$

$$(4.22) \quad (\beta^{ba} - \beta^{ca}) \Theta^a + (\beta^{ab} - \beta^{cb}) \Theta^b = 0,$$

for each cyclic permutation (a, b, c) of $(1, 2, 3)$.

Now consider the Riemannian holonomy of M . We know that M is locally symmetric and quaternionic Kähler. Thus the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{hol}$ is that of a quaternionic symmetric space and splits as

$$\mathfrak{hol} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1),$$

with $\mathfrak{k}$ non-Abelian. However, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is generated by the coefficients of R_E . In particular, the linear span of Θ^1 , Θ^2 and Θ^3 has to be at least two-dimensional. Equations (4.18) and (4.19), then imply that

$$\alpha^a = 0, \quad \delta^1 = \delta^2 = \delta^3,$$

so the X^a are mutually orthogonal and of equal length.

Lemma 4.8.

$$\gamma^1 = \gamma^2 = \gamma^3, \quad \beta^{bc} = 0.$$

Proof. If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Span}\{\Theta^1, \Theta^2, \Theta^3\} = 3$, then this is direct from equations (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22).

If Θ^a are not linearly independent, we may without loss of generality assume

$$\Theta^1 = x\Theta^2 + y\Theta^3$$

with Θ^2 and Θ^3 linearly independent. If x and y are both zero, then the result follows easily. Assume therefore that $x \neq 0$. We get from (4.22),

$$\beta^{12} = \beta^{32}, \quad \beta^{23} = \beta^{13}, \quad \beta^{21} = \beta^{31}.$$

Comparing the coefficient of Θ^2 in the first equation of (4.20) with the first equation of (4.21) gives

$$\beta^{23}x = \gamma^2 - \gamma^3, \quad (\gamma^2 - \gamma^3)x = -\beta^{23},$$

from which one concludes $\beta^{23} = 0$ and $\gamma^2 = \gamma^3$. The result follows. $\square$

Write $\gamma = \gamma^a$ and $\delta = \delta^a$ which are now both independent of the index a . We find that X^1 is orthogonal to

$$J_1X^1, J_2X^1, J_3X^1, X^2, J_1X^2, J_2X^2, X^3, J_1X^3, J_3X^3.$$

Note using equation (4.17) with this information shows that $\delta = \|X^1\|^2$ is constant. We may write

$$(4.23) \quad X^1 = aJ_3X^2 + bJ_2X^3 + W^1,$$

with W^1 orthogonal to the quaternionic span of X^2 and X^3 . Now

$$(4.24) \quad \gamma = \langle X^1, J_2X^3 \rangle = a \langle J_3X^2, J_2X^3 \rangle + b \langle J_2X^3, J_2X^3 \rangle = -a\gamma + b\delta.$$

Also

$$(4.25) \quad \gamma = \langle X^2, J_3X^1 \rangle = -a \langle X^2, X^2 \rangle - b \langle X^2, J_1X^3 \rangle = -a\delta + b\gamma$$

and

$$(4.26) \quad \delta = \|X^1\|^2 = (a^2 + b^2)\delta - 2ab\gamma + \|W^1\|^2.$$

Now the other way of looking at R_E is to note that $\langle (R_E)_{\cdot\cdot}, X, Y \rangle$ is in S^2E for all X and Y . This says that these two-forms are of type $(1, 1)$ for each J_a . Grouping Θ terms, we have

$$R_EX^1 = -\Theta^1 J_1 X^1 - (2X^3 + J_2 X^1)\Theta^2 + (2X^2 - J_3 X^1)\Theta^3.$$

Now by the above analysis the vectors $J_1 X^1$, $2X^3 + J_2 X^1$, $2X^2 - J_3 X^1$ are mutually orthogonal and all non-zero. Thus each Θ^a lies in S^2E . The condition that $\Theta^1 = \kappa^1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega^1$ is type $(1, 1)_1$, i.e., type $(1, 1)$ with respect to J_1 , tells us that

$$2X^2 \wedge X^3 + X^2 \wedge J_2 X^1 + X^3 \wedge J_3 X^1$$

is of type $(1, 1)_1$, since Ω^1 is proportional to the Kähler form ω_1 for J_1 , and the term $X^1 \wedge J_1 X^1$ is already of type $(1, 1)_1$. Squaring, we have that

$$J_2 X^1 \wedge J_3 X^1 \wedge X^2 \wedge X^3$$

is a $(2, 2)_1$ -form, which implies that $\text{Span}\{J_2 X^1, J_3 X^1, X^2, X^3\}$ is J_1 -invariant. In particular, $J_1 X^2$ is a linear combination of $J_2 X^1$, $J_3 X^1$ and X^3 . We conclude that the quaternionic span of X^1 , X^2 and X^3 has 8 real dimensions.

In equation (4.23), we find that $W^1 = 0$. Note that

$$(4.27) \quad |\gamma| = |\langle J_1 X^1, J_2 X^2 \rangle| < \delta,$$

since the three vectors $J_1 X^1$, $J_2 X^2$ and $J_3 X^3$ can not all be proportional. Adding equations (4.24) and (4.25), we get $(a + b)\gamma = (a + b)\delta$, so $a = -b$ by (4.27). Now by (4.26), $2a^2(\gamma + \delta) = \delta$ whereas (4.24) gives $a(\gamma + \delta) = -\gamma$. Thus $2a\gamma = -\delta$ and $2a^2 - a = 1$. The latter has solutions $a = -1/2$ and $a = 1$. However, $a = -1/2$ is impossible by (4.27), so $a = 1$, $b = -1$, $\gamma = -\delta/2$. In particular,

$$X^1 = J_3 X^2 - J_2 X^3,$$

which says the structure is of type $\mathcal{QK}_2$.

However, we will see that these structures do not arise. Assume that the constant δ is non-zero. Rescaling the geometry by a homothety we may assume that $\delta = 1$, $\gamma = -1/2$. Put

$$A = J_1 X^1, \quad B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(J_2 X^2 - J_3 X^3).$$

This is an orthonormal quaternionic basis for TM . We have

$$J_2 X^2 = -\frac{1}{2}A + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}B, \quad J_3 X^3 = -\frac{1}{2}A - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}B.$$

For Θ^1 to be of type $(1, 1)_2$, we must have that $\kappa^1 - J_2\kappa^1 = \Omega^1$. But

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^1 &= 2\left(\frac{1}{2}J_2A - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}J_2B\right) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2}J_3A + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}J_3B\right) - J_1A \wedge A \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2}J_2A - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}J_2B\right) \wedge J_3A - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_3A + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}J_3B\right) \wedge J_2A \\ &= A \wedge J_1A + \frac{3}{2}J_2A \wedge J_3A \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}J_2B \wedge J_3B + \sqrt{3}J_2A \wedge J_3B + \sqrt{3}J_3A \wedge J_2B.\end{aligned}$$

Giving

$$J_2\kappa^1 = -J_2A \wedge J_3A - \frac{3}{2}A \wedge J_1A + \frac{3}{2}B \wedge J_1B - \sqrt{3}A \wedge J_1B - \sqrt{3}J_1A \wedge B,$$

we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^1 - J_2\kappa^1 &= \frac{5}{2}A \wedge J_1A + \frac{5}{2}J_2A \wedge J_3A - \frac{3}{2}B \wedge J_1B - \frac{3}{2}J_2B \wedge J_3B \\ &\quad + \sqrt{3}(J_2A \wedge J_3B + J_3A \wedge J_2B + A \wedge J_1B + J_1A \wedge B),\end{aligned}$$

which is not proportional to

$$\omega_1 = A \wedge J_1A + J_2A \wedge J_3A + B \wedge J_1B + J_2B \wedge J_3B.$$

In conclusion, $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$ structures do not exist and the proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

5. HOMOGENEOUS DESCRIPTIONS OF QUATERNIONIC HYPERBOLIC SPACE

Being a non-compact symmetric space, the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ admits a transitive (isometric) action of a solvable Lie group, which is a proper subgroup of the full isometry group. We thus see that $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ has at least two homogeneous descriptions. In this section we study different homogeneous descriptions of $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$, listing all the possible ones and finding their homogeneous types in some cases. This is preparation for §5.2, where we explicitly realise the homogeneous structures of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$ on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$. A different model of this construction is provided in §5.3.

5.1. Transitive actions. As a symmetric space, we have $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n) = Sp(n, 1)/(Sp(n) \times Sp(1)) = G/K$. A group H acts transitively on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ only if $H \backslash G/K$ is a point. As K is compact this implies that H is a non-discrete co-compact subgroup of the semi-simple group G . Such subgroups were classified by Witte [32] (cf. Goto & Wang [20]).

One begins by determining the standard parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n, 1)$ (cf. [19, pp. 190–192]). To be concrete, we take $\mathfrak{sp}(n, 1)$ to be the set of quaternionic matrices that are ‘anti-Hermitian’ with

respect to the bilinear form $B = \text{diag}(\text{Id}_{n-1}, \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})$, where Id_{n-1} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ identity matrix, thus

$$\mathfrak{sp}(n, 1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & v_1 & v_2 \\ -\bar{v}_2^T & a & b \\ -\bar{v}_1^T & c & -\bar{a} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \mathfrak{sp}(n-1), \\ v_i \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1}, a \in \mathbb{H}, \\ b, c \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The maximal compact subgroup K has Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sp}(n) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & v & v \\ -\bar{v}^T & \beta & \beta \\ -\bar{v}^T & \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \mathfrak{sp}(n-1), \\ v \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1}, \\ \beta \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \end{array} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{sp}(1) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & -a \\ 0 & -a & a \end{pmatrix} : a \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to conjugation, $\mathfrak{sp}(n, 1)$ contains a unique maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -diagonalisable subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Span}\{A\}$, with $A = \text{diag}(0, \dots, 0, 1, -1)$. The set of roots Σ corresponding to $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\Sigma = \{\pm\lambda, \pm 2\lambda\}$, where $\lambda(A) = 1$. The set $\Theta = \{\lambda\}$ is a system of simple roots and the corresponding positive roots system is $\Sigma^+ = \{\lambda, 2\lambda\}$.

The general theory for $\mathfrak{g}$ non-compact semi-simple says that standard parabolic subalgebras correspond to subsets Ψ of the system of simple roots Θ for a maximal $\mathbb{R}$ -diagonalisable subalgebra, as follows. Let $[\Psi]$ be the subset of Σ consisting of linear combinations of elements of Ψ . Then a standard parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}(\Psi) = \mathfrak{l}(\Psi) + \mathfrak{n}(\Psi)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{l}(\Psi) = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \sum_{\mu \in [\Psi]} \mathfrak{g}_\mu, \quad \mathfrak{n}(\Psi) = \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma^+ \setminus [\Psi]} \mathfrak{g}_\mu,$$

and each parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ is conjugate to some $\mathfrak{p}(\Psi)$ [11]. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}(\Psi)$ is nilpotent, whilst $\mathfrak{l}(\Psi)$ is reductive. The latter may now be decomposed as $\mathfrak{l}(\Psi) = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{a}$, with $\mathfrak{l}$ semi-simple with all factors of non-compact type, $\mathfrak{e}$ compact reductive, and $\mathfrak{a}$ the non-compact part of the centre of $\mathfrak{l}(\Psi)$. The decompositions

$$P(\Psi)^0 = LEAN, \quad \mathfrak{p}(\Psi) = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}(\Psi)$$

are referred to as the *refined Langlands decomposition* of the parabolic subgroup $P(\Psi)$ and its Lie algebra in Witte [32] (cf. [30]). Witte proved the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1 (Witte [32]). *Let X be a normal subgroup of L and Y a connected subgroup of EA . Then there is a co-compact subgroup H*

of $P(\Psi)$ whose component of the identity is $H^0 = XYN$. Moreover, every non-discrete co-compact subgroup of G arises in this way.

For $G = Sp(n, 1)$ we only have two choices for Ψ and the corresponding parabolic subalgebras have the following refined Langlands decompositions:

$$\mathfrak{p}(\Theta) = \mathfrak{sp}(n, 1) + \{0\} + \{0\} + \{0\},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}(\emptyset) = \{0\} + (\mathfrak{sp}(n-1) + \mathfrak{sp}(1)) + \mathfrak{a} + (\mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{sp}(n-1) + \mathfrak{sp}(1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} : \alpha \in \mathfrak{sp}(n-1), a \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & v \\ -\bar{v}^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1} \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : b \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \right\},$$

the last being the +1- and +2-eigenspaces of $\text{ad } A$. Note that writing N for the connected subgroup of $Sp(n, 1)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2$, we have that $Sp(n, 1) = KAN$ is the Iwasawa decomposition.

For the first case, Theorem 5.1 says that for a co-compact H , the component of the identity H^0 is either all of $\mathfrak{sp}(n, 1)$ or it is trivial. Thus the only transitive action coming from $\Psi = \emptyset$ is that of the full isometry group $Sp(n, 1)$ on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$.

In the second case, there is much more freedom. Each time we take a connected subgroup Y of $Sp(n-1)Sp(1)\mathbb{R}$ we get a corresponding co-compact subgroup. In order to get a transitive action on G/K note that $Sp(n-1)Sp(1)$ is a subgroup of K , so it is sufficient that the projection $Y \rightarrow Sp(n-1)Sp(1)\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be surjective. According to Theorem 5.1, H^0 is then YN . We thus have the next result.

Theorem 5.2. *The connected groups acting transitively on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ are the full isometry group $Sp(n, 1)$ and the groups $H = YN$, where N is the nilpotent factor in the Iwasawa decomposition of $Sp(n, 1)$ and Y is a connected subgroup of $Sp(n-1)Sp(1)\mathbb{R}$ with non-trivial projection to $\mathbb{R}$. $\square$*

The simplest choice is $Y = A$, this is then the description of $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ as the solvable group AN . One may determine a homogeneous type for this solvable description as follows.

First we determine a quaternionic Kähler metric on AN . Taking the decomposition $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2$, a natural choice is

$$g(A, A) = \mu, \quad g(X_a, X_b) = \nu \delta_{ab}, \quad g(V, V) = \|v\|^2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ and μ, ν are positive constants. A choice of a quaternionic structure is then given by

$$J_1 A = \kappa X_1, \quad J_1 X_2 = X_3, \quad J_1 \rho_1(v) = \rho_1(-vi),$$

where $\rho_1(v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & v \\ -\bar{v}^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the element of $\mathfrak{n}_1$ corresponding to $v \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$. The compatibility condition $g(A, A) = g(J_1 A, J_1 A)$ forces $\mu = \kappa^2 \nu$. Taking $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ to be an orthonormal quaternionic basis of $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$, writing $V_i = \rho_1(v_i)$ and using corresponding lower case letters to denote the left-invariant basis dual to $A, X_1, \dots, V_1, J_1 V_1, \dots, J_3 V_{n-1}$, we have

$$\omega_1 = g(\cdot, J_1 \cdot) = -\kappa \nu a \wedge x_1 - \nu x_2 \wedge x_3 - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (v_r \wedge J_1 v_r + J_2 v_r \wedge J_3 v_r),$$

etc. The condition that the structure be quaternionic Kähler now reduces to the requirement that $d\omega_1$ be a linear combination of ω_2 and ω_3 . One computes

$$da = 0, \quad dv_r = -a \wedge v_r, \\ dx_1 = -2a \wedge x_1 + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (v_r \wedge J_1 v_r + J_2 v_r \wedge J_3 v_r),$$

by using the fact that the exterior derivatives of the left-invariant one-forms above are given by

$$d\alpha(B^*, C^*) = -\alpha([B, C]^*),$$

where B^* is the vector field with one-parameter group $g \mapsto \exp(tB)g$, $g \in G$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so $[B^*, C^*] = -[B, C]^*$. Considering $d\omega_1$ one finds that the structure is quaternionic Kähler if and only if $\kappa\nu = -1$ and $\mu = -\kappa = 1/\nu$.

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ is given [9, p. 183] by

$$2g(\nabla_{B^*} C^*, D^*) = -\{g([B, C]^*, D^*) + g(B^*, [C, D]^*) + g(C^*, [B, D]^*)\}.$$

To find the homogeneous tensor $S = \nabla - \tilde{\nabla}$, we need the canonical connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ for AN . But the latter is uniquely determined [29, p. 20] by its value at $o \in \mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$, where we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{B^*} C^* = -[B, C]^*.$$

Note that $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the connection for which every left-invariant tensor on AN is parallel [24, p. 192]. Working at o and writing B for B_o^* , etc., we now have

$$(5.1) \quad 2g(S_B C, D) = g([B, C], D) - g(B, [C, D]) - g(C, [B, D]).$$

Note, for example, that this is skew-symmetric in C and D , confirming that $S.g = 0$. To compute S explicitly, we first determine the Lie brackets and find

$$\begin{aligned} g([B, C], D) &= g(B, A_0)g(C, D) - g(C, A_0)g(B, D) \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^3 2g(J_a B, C)g(D, J_a A_0) + \mu g(C, A_0)g(B, J_a A_0)g(D, J_a A_0) \\ &\quad - \mu g(B, A_0)g(C, J_a A_0)g(D, J_a A_0, D) \\ &- 2\mu \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \varepsilon(\sigma)g(B, J_{\sigma(1)} A_0)g(C, J_{\sigma(2)} A_0)g(D, J_{\sigma(3)} A_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_0 = A/\mu$. Putting this into (5.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad g(S_B C, D) &= - \sum_{a=1}^3 g(B, J_a A_0)g(J_a C, D) \\ &+ g(D, A_0)g(C, B) - g(C, A_0)g(B, D) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(J_a B, C)g(D, J_a A_0) - g(D, J_a B)g(C, J_a A_0)) \\ &+ \mu \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(C, A_0)g(B, J_a A_0)g(D, J_a A_0) - g(D, A_0)g(B, J_a A_0)g(C, J_a A_0)) \\ &- \mu \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \varepsilon(\sigma)g(B, J_{\sigma(1)} A_0)g(C, J_{\sigma(2)} A_0)g(D, J_{\sigma(3)} A_0). \end{aligned}$$

The first line is in $\mathcal{QK}_1$, the next two lines are a tensor in $\mathcal{QK}_3$. With more work one finds that the last two lines are in $\mathcal{QK}_{3+4}$ with non-zero projection to each factor. When $n > 1$, the total contribution to $\mathcal{QK}_3$ is non-zero. Although this computation is performed at o , it applies at each point of $AN = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ and we have proved the next result.

Proposition 5.3. *For any fixed $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ admits a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure S of type $\mathcal{QK}_{1+3+4}$ given by (5.2), corresponding to a description of $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ as a solvable group. $\square$*

We conclude that the class of a generic homogeneous structure on $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n)$ is considerably larger than $\mathcal{QK}_3$. Even without computation, one can see that the solvable model necessarily has a non-trivial component in $\mathcal{QK}_{1+2}$: the almost complex structures J_i are parallel with respect to the canonical connection, but not with respect to the Levi-Civita connection (since the scalar curvature is non-zero), so the π^a in

equation (2.7) are not all zero. Proposition 4.5 then implies that there must also be a component in $\mathcal{QK}_{4+5}$.

5.2. Structures of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$. We now determine the non-vanishing homogeneous structures of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$ on $\mathbb{H}(n)$. Such a structure is given by a tensor

$$(5.3) \quad S_X Y = g(X, Y)\xi - g(\xi, Y)X + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(\xi, J_a Y)J_a X - g(X, J_a Y)J_a \xi),$$

where $\xi \neq 0$ is a vector field satisfying $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0$, i.e., $\nabla\xi = S\xi$.

We first consider the curvature term R^S given by (4.16). Using

$$S_X \xi = g(X, \xi)\xi - \|\xi\|^2 X - \sum_{a=1}^3 g(X, J_a \xi)J_a \xi, \quad S_\xi W = 0,$$

$$S_X J_1 \xi = g(X, J_1 \xi)\xi - \|\xi\|^2 J_1 X + g(X, \xi)J_1 \xi \\ + g(X, J_3 \xi)J_2 \xi - g(X, J_2 \xi)J_3 \xi,$$

$$S_{J_2 \xi} W = 2\{g(J_2 \xi, W)\xi + g(J_3 \xi, W)J_1 \xi - g(\xi, W)J_2 \xi - g(J_1 \xi, W)J_3 \xi\},$$

etc., we first expand

$$S_X(S_Y W) = g(Y, W)S_X \xi - g(\xi, W)S_X Y \\ + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(\xi, J_a W)S_X(J_a Y) - g(Y, J_a W)S_X(J_a \xi)), \\ S_{S_X Y} W = g(X, Y)S_\xi W - g(\xi, Y)S_X W \\ + \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(\xi, J_a Y)S_{J_a X} W - g(X, J_a Y)S_{J_a \xi} W)$$

and then anti-symmetrise to get

$$R_{XY}^S W = S_Y(S_X W) - S_{S_Y X} W - (S_X(S_Y W) - S_{S_X Y} W) \\ = -\|\xi\|^2 \{g(X, W)Y - g(Y, W)X \\ + \sum_{a=1}^3 (-g(X, J_a W)J_a Y + g(Y, J_a W)J_a X)\} \\ - 2\mathfrak{S}_{123} \{g(X, J_2 Y)g(J_2 W, \xi)\xi + g(X, J_2 Y)g(W, \xi)J_2 \xi \\ + g(X, J_3 Y)g(J_1 W, \xi)J_2 \xi - g(X, J_1 Y)g(J_3 W, \xi)J_2 \xi\} \\ =: -\|\xi\|^2 R_{XY}^1 W + 2R_{XY}^2 W.$$

Note that $R_{XY}^\ell J_a = J_a R_{XY}^\ell$, for $\ell = 1, 2$ and $a = 1, 2, 3$.

From (4.15) and the final lines of the proof of 4.5, we have that

$$\tilde{R}_{XY}W = \|\xi\|^2 R_{XY}^{\mathbb{H}H(n)}W - R_{XY}^S W,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} -R_{XY}^{\mathbb{H}H(n)}W &= g(X, W)Y - g(Y, W)X \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(J_a X, W)J_a Y - g(J_a Y, W)J_a X + 2g(J_a X, Y)J_a W). \end{aligned}$$

The first four terms here are exactly $R_{XY}^1 W$, so

$$\tilde{R}_{XY}W = -2\|\xi\|^2 \sum_{a=1}^3 g(J_a X, Y)J_a W - 2R_{XY}^2 W,$$

and we see in particular that $\tilde{R}_{XY}\xi = 0$,

$$\tilde{R}_{XY}J_1\xi = -4\|\xi\|^2 \{g(J_3 X, Y)J_2\xi - g(J_2 X, Y)J_3\xi\}, \quad \text{etc.},$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{R}_{XY}Z = -2\|\xi\|^2 \sum_{a=1}^3 g(J_a X, Y)J_a Z,$$

for Z orthogonal to the quaternionic span of ξ . Thus $\tilde{R}_{XY}$ acts on TM as an element of $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ in the representation $TM = \mathbb{R} + [S^2 H] + [EH]$. Also, for Y orthogonal to $\mathbb{H}X$, one finds $\tilde{R}_{XY} = 0$, and for $\|X\|^2 = 1/(2\|\xi\|^2)$, we have that

$$\tilde{R}_{XJ_a X}\xi = 0, \quad \tilde{R}_{XJ_a X}J_b\xi = -[J_a, J_b]\xi, \quad \tilde{R}_{XJ_a X}Z = -J_a Z.$$

We write $\mathfrak{J}_a$ for the element of $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ that acts as J_a on the factor $[EH]$.

The corresponding homogeneous manifold $\tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$ has (see §2.1)

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{sp}(1), \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} + T_o M,$$

with remaining Lie brackets (see (2.2)) $[X, Y] = S_X Y - S_Y X + \tilde{R}_{XY}$, for $X, Y \in T_o M$. On $T_o M$ we have

$$(5.4) \quad [Z_1, Z_2] = 2 \sum_{a=1}^3 (g(J_a Z_1, Z_2)J_a \xi - \|\xi\|^2 g(J_a Z_1, Z_2)\mathfrak{J}_a),$$

$$(5.5) \quad [\xi, Z] = \|\xi\|^2 Z,$$

$$(5.6) \quad [\xi, J_a \xi] = 2\|\xi\|^2 J_a \xi - 2\|\xi\|^4 \mathfrak{J}_a,$$

$$(5.7) \quad [J_a \xi, Z] = \|\xi\|^2 J_a Z,$$

$$(5.8) \quad [J_1 \xi, J_2 \xi] = 4\|\xi\|^2 J_3 \xi - 2\|\xi\|^4 \mathfrak{J}_3,$$

for Z, Z_1, Z_2 orthogonal to $\mathbb{H}\xi$.

By Theorem 5.2, we need to identify this Lie algebra structure as that of a subgroup YN of $Sp(n-1)Sp(1)\mathbb{R}N$, where Y has non-trivial projection to $\mathbb{R}$. Our holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$, so the Killing form is negative definite on this algebra and consequently it lies in $\mathfrak{sp}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$. Indeed it must lie in a subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_2 \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n-1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$, with $\mathfrak{k}_\ell \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1)$. Let V and W be the standard two-dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{k}_1$ and $\mathfrak{k}_2$. Then $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ decomposes as

$$\mathbb{R} + \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} c_\ell S^\ell V \otimes W \right) + S^2 W$$

under the action of $\mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_2$. As the action of the holonomy algebra only has a trivial summand of dimension 1, we conclude that the projection of $\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{k}_2$ is non-zero. Fitting the remaining representation to $S^2 H + (n-1)H$, we find (cf. [17, p. 110]) that the projection to $\mathfrak{k}_1$ is zero. Thus the holonomy algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ may be identified with $\mathfrak{k}_2 = \mathfrak{sp}(1)$.

Comparing with §2.1, we find that our symmetry group $\tilde{G}$ has Lie algebra

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{n}_2,$$

and that $\mathfrak{n}_2 \cong [S^2 H]$. Thus for each real λ , there is an ad-invariant complement

(5.9)

$$\mathfrak{m}_\lambda = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_\lambda, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{p}_\lambda = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda x & x \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda x \end{pmatrix} : x \in \text{Im } \mathbb{H} \right\}.$$

The relations (5.5)–(5.8) show that $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $J_1 \xi, J_2 \xi, J_3 \xi \in \mathfrak{p}_\lambda$. The holonomy action also identifies $(\mathbb{H}\xi)^\perp$ with $\mathfrak{n}_1$ and ensures that the map $\rho_1: \mathbb{H}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_1$ is quaternionic. By considering equation (5.4), we find that ρ_1 is a homothety and that

$$J_1 \xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \|\xi\|^2 i & i \\ 0 & 0 & \|\xi\|^2 i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathfrak{J}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix},$$

etc., once one has enforced the algebra requirement $[\mathfrak{J}_1, \mathfrak{J}_2] = 2\mathfrak{J}_3$. Note that $\lambda = \|\xi\|^2$. One may now check this is consistent with the remaining relations, including (5.7).

Theorem 5.4. *The non-vanishing homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures of class $\mathcal{QK}_3$ on $\mathbb{HH}(n)$ are parameterised by an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, corresponding to the ad-invariant subspace $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$ described in (5.9).*

□

As a consequence of the previous results in the paper we now have the characterisation of $\mathbb{HH}(n)$ stated in Theorem 1.1.

5.3. A realisation of $\mathcal{QK}_3$ -structures. We finally give a realisation in the open ball model of $\mathbb{HH}(n)$ of the family of $\mathcal{QK}_3$ -structures found in Theorem 5.4. Given a fixed $c \in (-\infty, 0)$, write $\tilde{c} = -c/4$ and consider the open ball with radius $\rho_c = \sqrt{1/\tilde{c}}$ in $\mathbb{HH}(n)$,

$$B^n = \{(q^0, q^1, \dots, q^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{HH}(n) : 1 - \tilde{c} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} q^r \bar{q}^r > 0\},$$

equipped with Watanabe's metric of negative constant quaternionic curvature c (see [31, p. 134]) given, for $q^r = x^r + iy^r + jz^r + kw^r$, $r = 0, \dots, n-1$, and $\varrho = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} q^r \bar{q}^r$, by

$$\begin{aligned} g = & \frac{1}{(1 - \tilde{c}\varrho)^2} \{ (1 - \tilde{c}\varrho)(dx^r dx^r + dy^r dy^r + dz^r dz^r + dw^r dw^r) \\ & + \tilde{c}(A_{rs} dx^r dx^s + B_{rs} dx^r dy^s + C_{rs} dx^r dz^s + D_{rs} dx^r dw^s \\ & - B_{rs} dy^r dx^s + A_{rs} dy^r dy^s + D_{rs} dy^r dz^s - C_{rs} dy^r dw^s \\ & - C_{rs} dz^r dx^s - D_{rs} dz^r dy^s + A_{rs} dz^r dz^s + B_{rs} dz^r dw^s \\ & - D_{rs} dw^r dx^s + C_{rs} dw^r dy^s - B_{rs} dw^r dz^s + A_{rs} dw^r dw^s) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{rs} &= x^r x^s + y^r y^s + z^r z^s + w^r w^s, & B_{rs} &= x^r y^s - y^r x^s + z^r w^s - w^r z^s, \\ C_{rs} &= x^r z^s - y^r w^s - z^r x^s + w^r y^s, & D_{rs} &= x^r w^s + y^r z^s - z^r y^s - w^r x^s. \end{aligned}$$

Let v be an almost quaternionic structure on B^n admitting the standard local basis

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} \otimes dy^r + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^r} \otimes dx^r + \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} \otimes dw^r - \frac{\partial}{\partial w^r} \otimes dz^r \right), \\ J_2 &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} \otimes dz^r - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^r} \otimes dw^r + \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} \otimes dx^r + \frac{\partial}{\partial w^r} \otimes dy^r \right), \\ J_3 &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} \otimes dw^r + \frac{\partial}{\partial y^r} \otimes dz^r - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} \otimes dy^r + \frac{\partial}{\partial w^r} \otimes dx^r \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, (B^n, g, v) is a quaternionic Kähler manifold. In fact, as some computations show, conditions (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) are satisfied. The Riemannian manifold (B^n, g) is homogeneous, hence complete. Moreover, since (B^n, g, v) is connected, simply-connected and complete, it is a model of negative constant quaternionic curvature. We further look

for a homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structure S satisfying (5.3). Since $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0$, we must find a vector field ξ on B^n such that

$$(5.10) \quad \nabla_X \xi = g(X, \xi)\xi - g(\xi, \xi)X - \sum_{a=1}^3 g(X, J_a \xi) J_a \xi.$$

The Kähler case [18] suggests us the following procedure to obtain ξ . Start with the Siegel domain

$$D_+ = \{(\chi^0, \chi^1, \dots, \chi^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}(n) : \operatorname{Re} \chi^0 - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} |\chi^r|^2 > 0\}.$$

The Cayley transform $\varphi: B^n \rightarrow D_+$ has inverse φ^{-1} given by

$$(\chi^0, \chi^1, \dots, \chi^{n-1}) \mapsto \rho_c \left(\frac{\chi^0 - 1}{\chi^0 + 1}, \frac{2\chi^1}{\chi^0 + 1}, \dots, \frac{2\chi^{n-1}}{\chi^0 + 1} \right).$$

Writing $a_0 = \operatorname{Re} \chi_0$ and $\xi_{D_+} = 2\tilde{c}(a^0 - \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} |\chi^r|^2)\partial/\partial a^0$, we take $\xi = \varphi_*^{-1}\xi_{D_+}$, which is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi = & \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{c}}(1 - \tilde{c}\varrho)}{|q^0 - \rho_c|^2} \left\{ ((x^0 - \rho_c)^2 - (y^0)^2 - (z^0)^2 - (w^0)^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} \right. \\ & + 2(x^0 - \rho_c) \left(y^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^0} + z^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z^0} + w^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial w^0} \right) \\ & - \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \left(((\rho_c - x^0)x^s + y^0 y^s + z^0 z^s + w^0 w^s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right. \\ & + ((\rho_c - x^0)y^s - y^0 x^s - z^s w^0 + w^s z^0) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^s} \\ & + ((\rho_c - x^0)z^s - z^0 x^s + y^s w^0 - y^0 w^s) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^s} \\ & \left. \left. + ((\rho_c - x^0)w^s - w^0 x^s - y^s z^0 - y^0 z^s) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^s} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

satisfies $g(\xi, \xi) = \tilde{c}$ and equation (5.10), so provides a homogeneous structure of type $\mathcal{QK}_3$, with $\lambda = \tilde{c}$ in Theorem 5.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abbena and S. Garbiero, *Almost Hermitian homogeneous structures*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **31** (1988), no. 3, 375–395.
- [2] D. V. Alekseevsky, *Riemannian spaces with unusual holonomy groups*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **2** (1968), 97–105.
- [3] ———, *Classification of quaternionic spaces with a transitive solvable group of motions*, Math. USSR Izvestija **9** (1975), 297–339.

- [4] D. V. Alekseevsky and V. Cortés, *Isometry groups of homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 4, 513–545.
- [5] W. Ambrose and I. M. Singer, *On homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **25** (1958), 647–669.
- [6] J. Bagger and W. Witten, *Matter couplings in $N = 2$ supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **222** (1983), 1–10.
- [7] M. Berger, *Sur les groupes d'holonomie des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **83** (1955), 279–330.
- [8] ———, *Remarques sur les groupes d'holonomie des variétés riemanniennes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **262** (1966), A1316–A1318.
- [9] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1987.
- [10] M. Bordemann, M. Forger, J. Laartz, and U. Schäper, *The Lie-Poisson structure of integrable classical nonlinear sigma models*, Comm. Math. Phys. **152** (1993), no. 1, 167–190.
- [11] A. Borel and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 27, 55–150.
- [12] M. Castrillón López, P. M. Gadea, and A. F. Swann, *Homogeneous quaternionic Kähler structures of linear type*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **338** (2004), 65–70.
- [13] S. Cecotti, *Homogeneous Kähler manifolds and T -algebras in $N = 2$ supergravity and superstrings*, Comm. Math. Phys. **124** (1989), no. 1, 23–55.
- [14] V. Cortés, *Alekseevskian spaces*, Differential Geom. Appl. **6** (1996), no. 2, 129–168.
- [15] B. de Wit and A. van Proeyen, *Special geometry, cubic polynomials and homogeneous quaternionic spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **149** (1992), 307–333.
- [16] A. Fino, *Intrinsic torsion and weak holonomy*, Math. J. Toyama Univ. **21** (1998), 1–22.
- [17] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: a first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [18] P. M. Gadea, A. Montesinos Amilibia, and J. Muñoz Masqué, *Characterizing the complex hyperbolic space by Kähler homogeneous structures*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 1, 87–94.
- [19] V. V. Gorbatsevich, A. L. Onishchik, and E. B. Vinberg, *Structure of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Lie groups and Lie algebras III (A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, eds.), Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 41, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, pp. 1–248.
- [20] M. Goto and H.-C. Wang, *Non-discrete uniform subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Math. Ann. **198** (1972), 259–286.
- [21] A. Gray and L. M. Hervella, *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **123** (1980), 35–58.
- [22] S. Ishihara, *Quaternion Kählerian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **9** (1974), 483–500.
- [23] V. F. Kiričenko, *On homogeneous Riemannian spaces with an invariant structure tensor*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **252** (1980), no. 2, 291–293.
- [24] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry. Volume II*, Tracts in Mathematics, Number 15, Wiley, New York, 1969.

- [25] B. Kostant, *Holonomy and the Lie algebra of infinitesimal motions of a Riemannian manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1955), 528–542.
- [26] Y. S. Poon and S. M. Salamon, *Quaternionic Kähler 8-manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), 363–378.
- [27] S. M. Salamon, *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 201, Longman, Harlow, 1989.
- [28] A. F. Swann, *HyperKähler and quaternionic Kähler geometry*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991), 421–450.
- [29] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 83, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [30] G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 188.
- [31] Y. Watanabe, *On the characteristic functions of quaternion Kählerian spaces of constant Q -sectional curvature*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **22** (1977), no. 1, 131–148.
- [32] D. Witte, *Cocompact subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Lie algebras and related topics. Proceedings of the conference held at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, May 22–June 1, 1988 (Georgia Benkart and J. Marshall Osborn, eds.), Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 110, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 309–313.
- [33] J. A. Wolf, *Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Mech. **14** (1965), 1033–1047.

(MCL) DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, AV. COMPLUTENSE S/N, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: mcastri@mat.ucm.es

(PMG) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS, CSIC, SERRANO 123, 28006 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: pmgadea@iec.csic.es

(AFS) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, CAMPUSVEJ 55, DK-5230 ODENSE M, DENMARK

E-mail address: swann@imada.sdu.dk